## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

)	
)	Case No. 1:09-cr-30
)	Honorable Janet T. Neff
)	
)	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on April 3, 2009, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Brent Dwayne Dyson entered a plea of guilty to counts 1, 2 and 3 of the Indictment charging him with possession of heroin with intent to distribute (count 1), in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1); being a felon in possession of a firearm (count 2), in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1); and possession of a firearm in furtherance of drug-trafficking (count 3), in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)(i). There was no written or oral plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 1:09-cr-00030-JTN ECF No. 22 filed 04/03/09 PageID.35 Page 2 of 2

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to counts 1, 2 and 3 of the Indictment be accepted and that the court adjudicate defendant guilty of the charge. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Dated: April 3, 2009 /s/ Joseph G. Scoville

U.S. Magistrate Judge

## **NOTICE TO PARTIES**

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d). A failure to file timely objections may result in the waiver of any further right to seek appellate review of the plea-taking procedure. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Neuman v. Rivers*, 125 F.3d 315, 322-23 (6th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 522 U.S. 1030 (1997); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).